

		_

Research and Practice in the Schools: The Official Journal of the Texas Association of School Psychologists

is a publication of the Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP). It is an online, peer-reviewed journal that provides TASP members with access to current research that impacts the practice of school psychology. The primary purpose of

is to meet the needs of TASP members for information on research-based practices in the field of school psychology. To meet this need, the journal welcomes timely and original empirical research, theoretical or conceptual articles, test reviews, book reviews, and software reviews. Qualitative and casestudy research designs will be considered as appropriate, in addition to more traditional quantitative designs. All submissions should clearly articulate implications for the practice of psychology in the schools.

Instructions for Authors

General Submission Guidelines

All manuscripts should be submitted in electronic form to either of the co-editors (jeremy.sullivan@utsa.edu or aeherna8@uiwtx.edu) as an email attachment. Manuscripts should be

It is assumed that any manuscript submitted for review is not being considered concurrently by another journal. Each submission must be accompanied by a statement that it has not been simultaneously submitted for publication elsewhere, and has not been previously published.

Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce copyrighted material from other sources. IRB approval should have been obtained and should be noted in all studies involving human subjects. Manuscripts and accompanying materials become the property of the publisher. Upon acceptance for publication, authors will be asked to sign a publication agreement granting TASP permission to publish the manuscript. The editors reserve the right to edit the manuscript as necessary for publication if accepted.

Submissions should be typed, double-spaced with margins of one inch. All articles should meet the requirements of the ..., in terms of style, references, and citations. Pages should be numbered consecutively throughout the document. Illustrations should be provided as clean digital files in .pdf format with a resolution of 300 dpi or higher. Tables and figures may be embedded in the text. A short descriptive title should appear above each table with a clear legend and any footnotes below.

The Review Process

After receiving the original manuscript, it will be reviewed by the Editors and anonymously by two or more reviewers from the Editorial Board or individuals appointed on an basis. Reviewers will judge manuscripts according to a specified set of criteria, based on the type of submission. Upon completion of

Call for Special Issue Proposals

We invite proposals for special issues of the journal, with the goal of publishing one special issue each year in addition to the general issue. Special issues will include collections of papers related to some cohesive theme in the field of School Psychology, and will be edited by Guest Editors who will take the lead in soliciting contributions and coordinating the peer review process. In addition to special issues that focus on research and scholarship in School Psychology, we welcome special issues that cover important practical and applied issues in the field.

Special issue proposals should include a brief description of the theme to be covered by the issue, approximate number of articles to be included, qualifications and expertise of those who will serve as Guest Editors of the issue, and a plan for soliciting manuscripts and conducting the reviews. Proposals for special

Scholarly Productivity of School Psychology Faculty

SCHOLARLY PRODUCTIVITY

accredited as of May 2010 were included in the study. In order to compare findings with those reported by Carper and Williams, Kranzler et al. examined authorship credit ratings and number of publications for the 59 programs using the

Finally, a list of the journals in which the articles of the top 25 individuals appeared was created.

Results

Table 1 contains the names and other information for the 25 individuals who obtained the highest authorship credit ratings for the years 2002-2011. These top 25 individuals had authorship credit ratings ranging from 13.85 to 4.91. Gary Canivez of Eastern Illinois University (EIU) was ranked as the faculty member with the highest authorship credit rating during this 10-year time span. Canivez published 25 articles that were cataloged in the ERIC and/or PsycINFO databases during this time; 4 were solo-authored publications and 13 were multiple-author publications where he was listed as first author. Twenty-three of these publications involved samples that fell within the

category. A closer examination revealed that several of the studies were psychometric in nature and employed normative data sets from commercially-published assessment instruments. Canivez earned his doctoral degree in Educational Psychology with an emphasis in School Psychology and Counseling from Southern Illinois University-Carbondale, a department that no longer offers degrees in school psychology. The institution at which he was employed during the time period

Table 1

Rank

Table 1 continued

Publication Categories

Number

of Pubs

Rank Name/University Doctoral Training Carnegie Classification

Table 1 continued

				F	ublication	on Categor	ies		Number	
Rank	Name/University	Doctoral Training	Carnegie Classification	<u>SP/</u> <u>P-</u> 12	SP/ Other	College	Other	Number of Pubs / Solo / 1st	of Pubs at Curr/Prev	Author Credit
24	Jeremy Jewell/ Southern Illinois University Edwardsville	Educational Psychology (School Psychology); University of Texas Austin	Postbac Comp	7	2	2	1	12/0/9	12/0	4.95
25	Stephen Hupp/ Southern Illinois University Edwardsville	Clinical Child Psychology; Louisiana State University	Postbac Comp	9	3	2	1	15/0/5	13/2	4.91

. Carnegie Classifications: Postbac-Comp = Post-Baccalaureate Comprehensive; CompDoc/NMedVet = Comprehensive Doctoral No Medical/Veterinary; S-Doc/Other = Single Doctoral Other Field; DocProf = Doctoral, Professional Dominant; Postbac-A&S/Ed = Post-Baccalaureate with Arts & Sciences Education Dominant. Publication Categories: SP/P-12 = school psychology-oriented articles with samples from Grades Preschool 12; SP/Other = articles that are school psychology-related topics.

Number of Pubs/Solo/1st = Total number of publications/number of solo authored articles/number of publications on which the person was listed as first author.

1 ations at previous institutions including as a graduate student.

Table 2

Rank 1	<u>University</u> Brigham Young University	<u>Carnegie</u> <u>Classification 2010</u> Doc/Prof	Number of Pubs 57	Number of Faculty 4	Average 14.25	<u>Range</u> 7 19
2	University of Central Florida	CompDoc/NMedVet	38	3	12.67	9 - 18
3	George Mason University	CompDoc/NMedVet	46*	4	11.50	0 31
4	Eastern Illinois University	Postbac Comp	27	3	9.00	0 25
5	Rider University	Postbac A&S/Ed	17	2	8.50	0 17
6	University of Texas San Antonio	CompDoc/NMedVet				

Table 2 continued

Carnegie

Table 3

Martínez, Floyd, and Erichsen (2011) examined the responses of highly productive school psychology scholars to a questionnaire regarding research strategies. Among the seven categories into which

e,

Research like this might tease out environmental

series. Likewise,

other faculty members will be entering academia and in the initial stages of establishing themselves and navigating the balance of teaching and scholarship. In the case of the current study, that might mean that some individuals were active all 10 years covered, others may have been publishing articles initially during the time span, but were less focused on this aspect of their careers as they transitioned to retirement, and new faculty members may have been contributing articles to the literature for as little as 1 year. Obviously, those who were active during all 10 years covered had the potential for higher authorship credit and resulting rankings.

doi: 10.1002/pits.20165

Grapin, S. L., Kranzler, J. H., & Daley, M. L. (2013). Scholarly productivity and impact of school psychology faculty in APA-accredited programs.

, 87-101. doi: 10.1002/pits.21658

Howard, G. S., Cole, D. A., & Maxwell, S. E. (1987). Research productivity in psychology based on publication in the journals of the American Psychological Association. , 975-986. doi: 10.1037/0003-

066X.42.11.975

Joy, S. (2006). What should I be doing, and where are they doing it? Scholarly productivity of academic psychologists.

346-364. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6916.2006.00020.x

Kranzler, J. H., Grapin, S. L., & Daley, M. L. (2011). Research productivity and scholarly impact of APA-accredited school psychology programs: 2005-2009. ,721-738. doi: 10.1016/

j.jsp2011.10.004

Levinson, E. M., Barker, W., & Lillenstein, D. (1994).

Publication productivity in selected school psychology journals: A reconsideration based on institutional mission.

, 120-127. doi:

10.1002/1520-6807(199404)31:2<120::AID-PITS2310310206>3.0.CO:2-W

Little, S. G. (1997). Graduate education of the top contributors to the school psychology literature: 1987-1995.

, 15-27. doi: 10.1177/

0143034397181002

Martínez, R. S., Floyd, R. G., & Erichsen, L. W. (2011).

Strategies and attributions of highly productive scholars and contributors to the school psychology literature:

Recommendations for increasing scholarly productivity.

, 691-720. doi:

10.1016/j.jsp.2011.10.003

Miller, D. C. (2008). Appendix VII: School psychology training programs. In A. Thomas & J. Grimes (Eds.),

(pp. clv-cxcviii).

Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

Roberts, G. A., Davis, K. S., Zanger, D., Gerrard-Morris, A., & Robinson, D. H. (2006). Top contributors to the school psychology literature: 1996-2005.

, 737-743. doi: 10.1002/pits.20183

Rojahn, J., Wilkins, J., Matson, J. L., & Boisjoli, J. (2010). A comparison of adults with intellectual disabilities with