Appr <b>o</b> ve	ed by Academic Affairs August 2015
5	
6	CSULB is a teaching-intensive, research-driven univ
7	scholarly and creative achievement, civic participation
8	Liberal Arts Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion

9 University, Long Beach establishes the criteria by wh 10 faculty shall be evaluated within this context. The co faculty to demonstrate a sustained, high-quality recor 11

12 related activities; (2) research, scholarly, and creative

13 contributions.

14

## 1.0 GUIDING PRINCIPLES

15

16

17	1.1 Guiding Principles of Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP)			
18				
19	<b>1.1.1</b> The University RTP Policy provides the basic framework for all RTP			
20	procedures and decisions on this campus. The College of Liberal Arts RTP Policy			
21	provides additional specificity for the evaluation of faculty members in the			
22	college.			
23				
24	<b>1.1.2</b> All departments in the College of Liberal Arts (CLA) are required to have			

1.1.2 All departments in the College of Liberal Arts (CLA) are required to have

	1.1.aT.r.5 0	2 Td -				
	1.1.aT. 4					
53						
52	evaluation of a candidate. dtneat(tP)(m(a)-a)-6.1 acade -1.1m-6.1i					
51	materials obtained during open period are to be sonsidered as partiof the 1(, t) 5 Td [(an)-4(d)1					
50	<b>1.1.10</b> As per the Collective Bargaining Agree	, , , ,				
59						
58	RateMyProfessors.com, Pick-a-Professor.com), petitions and anonymous letters.					
57	as hearsay in any form, including unofficial sources (e.g., Facebook,					
56	•	policies. No evaluation shall include or be based on unprofessional sources such				
55	1	criteria and procedures delineated in university, college, or department RTP				
54	<b>1.1.9</b> Evaluations and recommendations of car	ndidates must be made based on				
53						
52	mechanism for evaluation of probationary and	mechanism for evaluation of probationary and tenured faculty.				
51	evaluative feedback for candidates, the RTP p	evaluative feedback for candidates, the RTP process constitutes the formal				
50	participation in the mentoring process. While mentoring provides ongoing					
19	1.1.8 The CLA RTP policy requires mentoring of candidates and candidates'					
48						
<del>1</del> 7	detailed on the Professional Data Sheet.					

93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		
101		
102		
103		
104		
105		
106		
107		
108		
109		
110		
111		
112		
113		
114		
115		

- 1. For all RSCA that does not appear under Works in Progress, candidate must:
  - a. Label according to CLA definitions for publication status and peer-review.
  - b. Place all previously-claimed work under the double line.
  - c. List RSCA-related external grants;
  - d. Briefly annotate each peer-reviewed publication listed with the following:
    - Description of publication venue (e.g., journal, media, or volume) vis-à-vis the discipline and/or subfield;
    - ii. Rationale for publication venue choice;
    - iii. Explanation of candidate's contribution to co- and multi-authored RSCA.
- 3. Service activities, including dates of service, offices held, degree of participation, and responsibilities.
- b. Narrative addressing the three areas of evaluation (instruction and instructionally-related activities; RSCA; and service). This three-part narrative shall be submitted via the Candidate Statement Form\*, which allows up to 3,000 words.
- c. Workload Assignment Form.\*
- d. Academic Advisor Report<sup>†</sup> (as appropriate).
- e. All peer-reviewed  $\frac{1}{2}$  (and (pa)  $\frac{4}{2}$  (red)  $\frac{4$

136 committee chair or designee. 137

179 180	development activities, and/or experimentation with instructional methodologies or assessments.
181	Furthermore, the narrative shall address the following as appropriate
182 183	g. Student course evaluations that are below department and/or college norms, relative to level.
184	h. Grade distributions that differ from department norms, relative to level.
185	2.1.3 Instruction and Instructionally-Related Materials
186	For each course taught during the period under review candidates will include only: (a)
187	one (1) representative syllabus; (b) one (1) assessment tool for student learning; and (c)
188	one (1) sample of representative instructional materials not to exceed four (4) pages.
189	
190	2.1.3.1 Syllabi
191	A representative syllabus for each course instructed during the period of review
192	must be submitted. For courses taught more than once in the period of review

# **2.1.5 Grade Distributions**

270	2.2 Research, Scholarry, and Creative receivings (RSC11)				
271	The College of Liberal Arts requires research, scholarly, and creative activities (RSCA)				
272	of all faculty members. CLA recognizes the diversity of fields represented within the				
273	college. Traditional scholarship and emerging scholarly fields, such as the scholarship of				
274	engagement and multi-media RSCA, fall under this rubric. This section outlines the				
275	criteria for the evaluation of RSCA in the college and candidates' responsibilities				
276	regarding RTP files and materials.				
277					
278	2.2.1 RSCA File				
a)-14(y <b>2)]79</b> J	0 6.28 <b>2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 2.2.1 3.2.1</b>				
280	Candidate's files <b>must</b> include:				
281	a. RSCA narrative written on the fillable form.				
282	b. All published peer-reviewed research, scholarly, and creative				
283	activities for the review period only. RSCA claimed in prior				
284	actions cannot be included. Published peer-reviewed research				
285	includes, but is not limited to: books, articles, films, and other				
286	media. Such materials shall be placed in the binder or, in the case				
287	incluble, he opt booksrnf-6(d.1.03/Fbwoks and Tother) Taterial 2 (nard3(n) 4 (fi) - In cheforthrom in it 14 (e) 329.25 J - 18.22				
288	submitted with the file. Furthermore, candidates have the option to				
289	include accepted, in press, or forthcoming RSCA as per the				
290	following guidelines:				
291	1. Candidates <u>may</u> include accepted, in press, or forthcoming				
292	RSCA for the period of review. Alternately, if they deem it				
	beneficialOJ $\frac{1}{11}$ 62ody <<2(e)4(d, i)-57 15 Td $\frac{1}{10}$ [(a)4(c)4(t)-4(l)-nsf -6(d.2)				

Tm (290)Tj

t4(

2.2 Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activities (RSCA)

270

6(s)(285)Tjc6.004

Tw

12308

p12.2.3.a6(s).3236

T

316	2.2.1.3 Excluded Materials
317	Candidates cannot include other evidence of unpublished RSCA (e.g.,
318	works in progress, conference presentations, and invited lectures). Listing
319	such items on the PDS is sufficient.
320	
321	2.2.2 RSCA Narrative
322	The RSCA narrative for the period of review must address:
323	a. Focus and sustained nature of the candidate's research,
324	scholarly, and creative activities.
325	b. Significance and impact of the candidate's RSCA.
326	c. Candidate's role in authorship for co- and multi-authored
327	RSCA.
328	d. Significance and impact of non peer-reviewed RSCA included
329	in the candidate's RTP file.
330	
331	2.2.3 Peer Review Requirement and Definition
332	In the College of Liberal Arts, peer review is the primary requirement for the
333	majority of a candidate's research, scholarly, and creative activities.
334	
335	2.2.3.1 Definition
	Peer review

362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407

- publication state.
- b. <u>Accepted</u> refers to a manuscript that a publisher or other entity has agreed to publish without major changes.
- c. <u>Conditionally accepted</u> refers to a manuscript that has been reviewed and has received this evaluation from a publisher or other entity, indicating that changes are required before the manuscript will be published.
- d. Revise and resubmit refers to a manuscript that has been reviewed and has received this evaluation from a publisher or other entity, indicating that the manuscript has to be evaluated again prior to a final decision.
- e. Submitted means only that work has been submitted for consideration.
- f. <u>Under contract with complete manuscript draft</u> refers to RSCA for which there is a contract and a complete manuscript draft.
- g. <u>Under contract without complete manuscript draft</u> refers to RSCA for which there is a contract granted without a complete manuscript draft.

#### 2.2.5 Proof of Publication Status

For in press, forthcoming, and accepted RSCA submitted with the RTP file, candidates must submit evidence of publication status (e.g., a letter from the publisher/editor or a copy of the contract). RSCA not submitted for evaluation (e.g., work in progress) does not require such documentation.

## 2.2.6 Disclosure Requirements and Conflict of Interest

#### 2.2.6.1 Disclosure of Peer Review Process

Candidates are responsible for providing proof of peer review. All such proof must be provided in English.

Proof of peer review can include, but is not limited to:

- a. A printout of the venue's editorial policy.
- b. Copies of reader reports.
- c. Letters from editors or readers in which editorial policy is stated.

#### 2.2.6.2 Ethical Concerns

Any potential ethical concerns must be disclosed in the narrative.

Ethical concerns include, but are not limited to: conflicts of interest; monetary payment to secure publication; and duplicate publication:

- a. <u>Conflicts of interest</u>: Conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to serving contemporaneously on the editorial, advisory, or executive board of the press or journal with which one has published.
- b. <u>Monetary contributions</u>: Publications in venues to which an author is required to make a monetary contribution in order to secure publication (e.g., for-profit presses and vanity presses) shall be

408	considered a priori an ethical concern, regardless of selection
409	process. This does not include venues that require subsidies to
410	offset publication costs after a work has been accepted for
411	publication on its scholarly merits (e.g., charges for images).
412	c. <u>Duplicate publication</u> : Candidates must address duplicate RSCA
413	their narratives. Examples include, but are not limited to: the sam
414	article published in different venues or in different languages.
415	Reprints must be labeled as such.
416	
417	2.3 Service
418	High-quality, sustained service contributions to the University as well as to the profession
419	and/or the community are required of all faculty in the College of Liberal Arts.
420	Expectations for degree and quality of service vary by rank of the faculty member.
421	
422	In keeping with the self-governance tenets that inform our campus, service contributions

452	a. Probationary faculty members in the first three years of appointment
453	typically are expected to focus service activities at the department
454	level.
455	b. For tenure and promotion to the rank of Associate Professor,
456	probationary faculty members typically are required to make high-
457	quality service contributions to their department, and to either the
458	college or the university.
459	c. For promotion to the rank of Professor, successful candidates are
460	expected to have a substantive service record that includes: (1)
461	service at department, college, and university levels; (2) a record of
462	leadership at the University; and (3) a record of service in the
463	community and/or the profession. University leadership may be
464	demonstrated by a record of holding formal offices (e.g., committee
465	chair) and/or of active engagement in faculty governance (e.g.,
466	active participation in accreditation or policy-writing processes).
467	
160	2.2. Evaluation of Courses

**2.3.3 Evaluation of Service**ID 13 >>BDC [(e77CID 13 47RITPI closs Tright (187) e9 (1981) e3 (e44) (att) (1994) e44 (att

formation of an evaluation committee. This committee shall consist of members selected from among the peer review committees of the departments within which the candidate holds a joint appointment. For more details on joint appointments, see the university policy.

3.3 Department RTP Policy
The University RTP Policy dictates that all departments shall have RTP policies. The

The University RTP Policy dictates that all departments shall have RTP policies. The document also delineates ratification procedures and review requirements. All department policies must then be ratified by the Faculty Council in a majority vote and must be approved by the dean and the Provost.

In the College of Liberal Arts, dep2 >> BDC 0.>> BDC 0.1ttment

505

506

507

508

having the responsibility for communicating the department, college, and university policies to candidates and for providing mentoring to candidates. In the College of Liberal Arts, mentoring can be performed by the chair or a mutually agreed-upon tenured, full-time faculty designee. Candidates are charged with seeking guidance from the department chair or designated mentor. Evidence of mentoring shall be included in the candidate's file and can include, but is not limited to, feedback provided on minireview evaluations.

#### 3.6 Department Chair Evaluations

The University RTP Policy stipulates that a department chair may write independent evaluations of RTP candidates. In the College of Liberal Arts, the absence of such a letter shall not be construed as a negative judgment on the candidate. If the chair elects to write a separate evaluation, that document usually will not exceed 500 words.

#### 3.7 College RTP Policy

The University RTP Policy specifies that the college RTP policy must be ratified by a majority of voting tenured and probationary faculty members and approved by the dean and the Provost.

The College of Liberal Arts RTP Policy shall be subject to review as needed. The Faculty

590	e. Members shall serve staggered two-year terms and shall not be re-
591	elected for more than two (2) consecutive terms.
592	f. In the event that the committee cannot be populated with members
593	who are all from different academic areas, up to two faculty members
594	may be elected from the same academic area, provided they are at
595	different ranks.
596	g. Committee members may not serve on any other standing or ad hoc
597	RTP committee at the university.
598	·
599	3.8.2 Structure and Duties of the College RTP Committee
600	
601	<b>3.8.2.1</b> The RTP committee shall consist of two standing sub-committees
602	a. The Tenure and Promotion Sub-Committee shall consider all
603	cases of tenure and promotion. A minimum of five (5) committee
604	members at the rank of Professor must serve on this committee.
605	b. The Reappointment Sub-Committee shall consider all cases of
606	reappointment. A minimum of three (3) committee members at the
607	rank of Associate Professor or Professor must serve on this
608	committee.
609	
610	<b>3.8.2.2</b> At the first meeting of the CLA RTP Committee:
611	a. The committee shall elect a chair who holds the rank of

awarding of tenure.

**5.3 Appointment/Promotion to Associate Professor** The University RTP Policy states the minimum standard for appointment/promotion to Associate Professor, including the expectation that a candidate shall have a record of high-quality peer-reviewed work that has contributed to the advancement, application, or pedagogy of his or her discipline or interdisciplinary fields of study. In addition to the minimum standard stated in that policy, the College of Liberal Arts requires the candidate to make high-quality service contributions to the department and to either the college or the university.

### **5.4** Appointment/Promotion to Professor

The University RTP Policy states that standards for promotion to full professor shall be higher than standards for promotion to associate professor.

In the College of Liberal Arts, a candidate for appointment/advancement to Professor must demonstrate a consistent record of excellence in all three areas of evaluation. The successful candidate will demonstrate RSCA that include high-quality contributions to the advancement, application, or pedagogy of his or her discipline or interdisciplinary fields of study. The candidate is expected to have a substantial record of peer-reviewed work at the national and/or international levels. In addition, a candidate for promotion to Professor shall demonstrate high-quality instruction and instructional activities. The candidate also is expected to have a substantive service record that includes: (a) service at department, college, and university levels; (b) a record of leadership at the University; and (c) a record of service in the community or the profession.

#### **5.5 Early Tenure or Early Promotion**

As outlined by the University RTP Policy, early tenure and/or early promotion are awarded in rare circumstances in which a candidate demonstrates a superior record of accomplishment in all three areas of evaluation. That policy states that candidates for early tenure and/or promotion are encouraged to participate in the external evaluap0.002 .g2.14 -0 T. To